

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/389,318  | 09/03/1999        | OSAMU SUZUKI            | 001560-372          | 5287             |
| 21839   | 7590 11/03/2003   | EXAMINER                |                     |                  |
| BURNS DO  | ANE SWECKER & M   | MARX, IRENE             |                     |                  |
| POST OFFICE BOX 1404<br>ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 |                   |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ALLAANDA  | i, 711 22313-1-0- |                         | 1651                |                  |
|   |                   | DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
|---|--|---|
| Advisory Action   | 09/389,318   | SUZUKI ET AL.   |
| navious, notion   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
|   | Irene Marx   | 1651  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sh et with the o  | corresp nd nce address  |
| THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | oid abandonment of this applica<br>a timely filed amendment whicl  | ation. A proper reply to a<br>h places the application in                               |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |
| <ul> <li>a)</li></ul>   | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH                   | g date of the final rejection.<br>HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP                          |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | of extension and the corresponding amo<br>the shortened statutory period for reply<br>the later than three months after the mail | unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <a href="https://doi.org/11.1926">11 August 2003</a> . Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF)   | •  | ·   |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:  |   |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (s  | see NOTE below);  |
| (b) 🖾 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | elow);   |   |
| (c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir<br>issues for appeal; and/or   | n better form for appeal by mate   | rially reducing or simplifying the  |
| (d)  they present additional claims without cancelli  | ng a corresponding number of fi  | nally rejected claims.  |
| NOTE: see attachment.   |  |   |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | ion(s):  |   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se  | eparate, timely filed amendment   |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☑ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: see  |  | dered but does NOT place the  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY to  | o issues which were newly   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we  |  |   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |   |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,6-7 and 33-34</u> .   |  |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp   | roved by the Examiner.  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen  |  | •   |
| 10. Other:  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | <del></del> ·   |
| <u> </u>  |  |   |
|   |  | Irene Marx<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 1651  |

Application/Control Number: 09/389,318 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

Note:

The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search with respect to claim 6 is incomplete as depending on a cancelled claim and at least SAM 2197 does not appear to be an *M. alpina*. At least it is not designated as such in the asfiled specification. Thus, the impact of the declaration cannot be fully assessed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is 703-308-2922. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0926.

Irene Marx

Primary Examiner

There man

Art Unit 1651